The effect of sea level rise on freshwater flooding, the human population, and natural forest communities of Miami-Dade County

Stephanie Long, Kristie Wendelberger, Sylvia Lee, Camilo Arias, Ivan Blanco Rubio

Background

- Reasons for SLR:
 - Thermal expansion due to global climate change.
 - Possible acceleration due to glacial melting.



Background (cont.)

- Local SLR:
 - SLR has regional variation.
 - Florida SLR trends match global projections.
- Impacts on Miami-Dade
 - Lowest continental aquifer in the US.
 - Some inland elevations at or below sea level.
 - Freshwater flooding, a result of the shallow water table.



Sustainability Concepts for Miami-Dade

- Potential for resilience?
 - Project evaluates SLR impacts on a CHES, providing background for future sustainability initiatives.
- Displacement
 - Flooding displaces human population groups and natural forest communities.
- Inclusive Wealth
 - Project can be used as a parameter for an inclusive wealth model of Miami-Dade.

Project Question and Hypothesis

- How will projected sea level rise scenarios affect the interactions between sea water and freshwater flooding, natural forest communities, and the human population of Miami-Dade County?
- Based on SLR scenarios of 0.5 m, 1 m and 2 m, we predict:
 - Saltwater intrusion will threaten freshwater supply.
 - Flooding may impact population groups based on socioeconomic status and location of residence.
 - Flooding will impact the number and percent of remaining NFCs.



Results and Discussions



Link 1: SLR to Water

- Flooding
 - Flooding mostly in the wetlands.
 - The coastal ridge remains mostly unaffected until the 2.0 m SLR scenario.

SLR Scenarios - Miami-Dade County



Link 1 (cont.)

- Saltwater vs Freshwater flooding
 - Inland flooding is freshwater.
 - Saltwater flooding along the coast and in the wetlands.
 - Some areas of SW flooding in the "Finger Glades".



Link 1 (cont.)

- Saltwater Intrusion
 - Distance to the shoreline
 - Aquifer Depth

Intrusion (feet)					
SLR	Max	Min	Average	St. Dev.	
0.5 m	785.98	10.67	117.33	138.47	
1.0 m	1567.18	21.33	233.11	273.13	
2.0 m	3134.36	42.66	466.23	546.27	

 Data suggests a maximum intrusion of only 3,000 ft





Link 2: Census blocks, race

• Population distribution by census 2000 and affected by different SLR scenarios.

	Census 2000	o.5m	ım	2m
Population	2,253,362	651,872	866,635	1,805,180
White	1,570,558 (12.4)	503,941 (19.4)	660,297 (16.9)	1,332,769 (12.5)
Hispanic	1,291,737 (57.3)	377,394 (57.9)	514,083 (59.3)	1,106,788 (61.3)
Black	457,214 (20.3)	79,441 (12.2)	114,217 (13.2)	287,196 (15.9)
Other	225,590 (10.0)	68,490 (10.5)	92,121 (10.6)	185,215 (10.3)

Link 2: Census blocks, race

Evolution of affected population by race



Link 2: Block Census Group, income





Link 3: NFCs to

Water

- 698 NFCs in MDC.
 120 effected at 2m.
- 741 County and Municipal Parks in MDC.
 - 27 with NFCs.
 - 20% affected by 0.5m SLR.
 - 30% affected by 1.0m SLR.
 - 74% affected by 2.0m SLR.
- 172 rare species in MDC.

1m Sea Level Rise - NFCs and Parks





Acres of NFCs effected by SLR





Acreage of Parks w/NFCs effected by SLR





- MDC ordinances
- MDC, EEL properties

Link 4: Humans to NFCs

- Good news: 74% of parks with NFCs acquired or managed by EEL program.
 - 23,000+ acres as of Sept. 2010.
- 714 other parks.



Link 4: Humans to NFCs (cont.)

- Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) 1990
 - Run by Board of County Commissioners.
 - Vulnerable, low-lying lands with special natural features.
 - Tax breaks for owners (based on acreage).
 - Must follow 10 year management plan, updated at least every 5 years, inspection every year.
 - Development highly unlikely.
- Climate Change Advisory Task Force 2006
 - Advises Mayor and Board of County Commissioners.
- GreenPrint MDC chosen as pilot community in 2009
 - Assessment and Goals made as Milestones 1 and 2.
 - Currently on Milestone 3: Planning.
 - Milestone 4: Implement.
 - Milestone 5: Monitor/Evaluate.



Link 4: Humans to NFCs (cont.)

- Value of recreational parks and green space in urban areas.
- 16% affected by 0.5m SLR.
- 25% affected by 1.0m SLR.
- 40% affected by 2.0m SLR.
- Types of management
 - City, County.
- 15% undeveloped.
- Potential for development?



Conclusions

Conclusions

1) Extensive flooding in low-lying wetlands and coastal areas.

2) Influence of SLR scenarios on socio-economic groups.

3) SLR will impact NFCs and, in turn, rare plant populations.

4) MDC has positive initiatives for protecting NFCs.